# *The* **THAI**  *Journal of* **SURGERY**

Official Publication of the Royal College of Surgeons of Thailand

Vol. 21 **Ianuary - March 2000** No. 1

# *p53 Su@ressor Gene Mutations in Ouarian Cancer*

**Thongbliew Prempree, MD, PhD\* Rumpa Amornmarn, MD\* Robert C Nuss, MD\*" Guy I Benrubi, MD\*\* Boniface Ndubisi, MD\*"** 

'Department of Radiation Oncology. "Department of Obstetrlcs and Gynecology, University of Florida. Universify Medical Center Jacksonville, Florida. USA.

PRECIS : *Mutations of p53 gene have been discovered in a wide variety of human cancers. We studied the mutation of p53* gene in 40 consecutive ovarian cancers and found  $p53$  proteins overexpression by Western Transfer Method to be at *the rate of 95 per cent (38/40), while the presence of p53 mutation by DNA Sequencing was at the rate of 78 per cent (31/40). DNA Sequencing should be done in all cases without exception to be able to capture all mutations.* 

*Abstract* Mutations of p53 suppressor gene have been discovered in a wide variety of human cancer ranging from most common, such as basal cell cancers to the least common, such as soft tissue sarcoma. It is believed that the accumulation of mutations, exogenous or endogenous in the genome of cells can lead to deregulation of cell growth and finally development of cancer.

> We studied the p53 mutations in 40 consecutive ovarian cancers by using Immunoblotting (Western Transfer Method) to detect mutant p53 protein in ovarian cancer cells and **DNA** sequencing technique to identify mutation in p53 gene.

> It was dicovered that overexpression of p53 protein was at the rate of 38/40 = 95 per cent and the presence of mutations in p53 gene was  $31/40 = 78$  per cent. Even though the correlation between p53 overexpression and presence of mutation was not perfect, the data clearly showed that the p53mutationwas found in most ovarian cancer and perhaps represented somatic mutation leading to this cancer. A total of 41 mutations and one deletion were discovered in 31 cases, more than  $\frac{1}{9}$  were of Transition mutation type and less than  $\frac{1}{9}$  were of Transversion type of mutations.

> p53 Mutation was detected in ovarian cancer from early to late stage. It is thought perhaps that p53 mutation should be viewed as initial step of carcinogenesis rather than late event. One half of the p53 mutations may arise from spontaneous mutation, yet the other one half may arise from interaction of carcinogens with **DNA.**

*Words:* p53 mutation in ovarian cancer; p53 overexpression; **DNA** sequence; ovarian cancer; p53 suppressor gene.

p53 Suppressor gene encodes a nuclear phosphoprotein present in low levels in normal human cells.<sup>1,2</sup> Wild-type p53 tumor suppressor gene plays a central role in the maintenance of normal cell growth, differentiation and programmed cell death (apoptosis).<sup>1,3</sup> It is thought perhaps that loss of normal function of this suppressor gene could cause the cell to lose its self control, therefore allowing the abnormal growth of the cell to occur.<sup>1,47</sup> Since the discovery of p53 suppressor gene and many years of extensive research, mutations of p53 genes have been discovered in a wide variety of human cancers, from the more common one such as basal cell skin cancer,<sup>8</sup> colon,  $9.10$  breast,  $11,12$ lung,  $\frac{13}{3}$  brain,  $\frac{14.15}{3}$  to the less common such as hepatocellular cancer,  $^{16,17}$  and soft tissue sarcomas.<sup>15</sup> Epithelial ovarian cancers have also been shown, by many researchers, to exhibit loss of normally functioning  $p53$  gene with mutations.<sup>10,15,18-28</sup>

While continuing attempts are being made to improve early diagnosis and treatment of ovarian cancer, many significant gains have been made in the knowledge of the molecular mechanisms of the carcinogenesis of this malignancy.

In the present study we used Western Transfer Method to identify mutant p53 protein and further identify p53 mutation in 40 consecutive ovarian cancers and compiled the specific types of base substitution of p53 gene from Exon 4 to Exon 8.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### *Clinical materials*

Fresh tumor tissue was collected from 40 patients following surgical debulking and divided into two portions; one for DNA study; the other for protein study. In the event that ascitic fluid was collected, itwas processed immediately by centrifuging to obtain cell pellet for both protein and DNA studies. These patients were treated at University Medical Center, from 1994 to the early part of 1996. Their ages ranged from 24 to 86 years, with a median age of 63 and an average age of 60.6 years old. There were 37 cases of papillary serous cystadenocarcinoma and 3 cases of mucinous cystadenocarcinoma.

#### *Protein study/Western Trasfer analysis*<sup>29</sup>

#### **A) Sample Preparation:**

Tissue samples were washed with lx phosphate

buffered saline, minced by scalpel into smallest portions and disrupted by sonication in 200 µl lysis buffer (1 % sodium dodecyl sulfate SDS and 10 mM Tris HCI pH7.4). The homogenates were brought to the boiling point by microwave for 10-15 seconds. Lysates were spun down and the supernatant fluid was removed and measured fbr protein concentration. The sample was diluted by loading buffer to yield  $10 \mu$ g per 1  $\mu$ l, per lane for electrophoresis.

#### **B) Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis<sup>29,30</sup>**

Under denaturing conditions, SDS-PAGE (Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate-Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis) proteins were separated based on molecular size as they moved through the polyacrylamide gel matrix toward anode (Laemmli system).<sup>30</sup> Tris/ glycine polyacrylamide 8-16% gradient gel were used and run at 125 volts/30-35 mA for  $1\frac{1}{2}$  hours.

#### **C) Electrophoretic Transfer (Blotting)**

After protein separation by electrophoresis, the transfer or blotting involved the trasfer of resolved proteins from age1 onto the surface ofa membrane. As a rule, following electrophoresis, the protein molecule of interest was trapped within the gel matrix, making the study difficult. When the molecule was transferred to the membrane, (we use PVDF-Polyvinylidene Difluoride), the protein molecule was bound to the membrane surface and became accessible to the molecular probe and detection device. We used electrotransfer technique (Semiphor 70 Hoefer) for our study.

#### **D) Blocking and Antibody Treatment**

After the completion of electro-transfer, the blot was removed from the transfer apparatus, and immediately placed into the blocking buffer **(1%** BSA in 10mM Tris pH 7.5,50 mM NaCl and 0.1% Tween 20). The blot was allowed to stay at  $4^{\circ}$ C for overnight. After decanting blocking buffers, anti-p53 monoclonal antibody (Transduction Lab or Oncogene Science) was added to the blot with continuous agitation for 1 hour at room temperature.

#### **E) Incubation with The Second Antibody**

Primary antibody solution was decan ted and wash buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl  $0.1\%$  Tween 20) was added to wash the blot Tor 30 minutes with agitation. The wash buffer was changed every *5* minutes. After the last change of the wash buffer, the second antibody/Anti-mouse Immunolobulin with Alkaline Phosphatase Conjugate (Sigma Immuno Chemicals) was added and allowed to incubate at room temperature for 1 hour with mild agitation to be sure that the second antibody was interactingwell with the blot.

#### **F) Substrate Incubation**

The antibody conjugate was decanted and wash buffer ( $10 \text{ mM}$  Tris pH  $7.5$ ,  $100 \text{ mM}$  NaCl $0.1\%$  Tween 20) was added for blot washing for 30 minutes with agitation. The wash buffer was changed every 5 minutes. After the last wash, the blot was transferred into

a plastic bag containing **ECL** reagent (Amersham) for chemiluminescent detection. The substrate for Alkaline Phosphatase was Dioxetane. The **ECL** reagent interaction with the Alkaline Phosphatase conjugate resulted in light production. Kodak X-OMAT ARfilm was applied to the blot until satisfactory exposure was achieved, usually about 1-2 hours. After exposure, the film was developed with the usual technique and the results interpreted (Figure 1).



**Fig. 1** Example of Western Transfer (Blot) Technique to detect mutant p53 protein by chemiluminescent method. p53 protein has molecular weight of 53 kDa (Kilodalton). Left panel, all six lanes 1-3 and 5-7 are positive for overexpression. Right panel, lanes 1 and 2 are positive, lane 3 is negative. Lanes 5, 6, and 7 were interpreted as negative for overexpression in spite of faint band shown here.



#### **Table 1** Primer Sequence



**Fig. 2** Example of PCR products run on 2 per cent agarose gel from Exon 5, 6, 7 and 8.

## DNA SEQUENCING EXON 7 of p53 **CONTROL**  $\qquad \qquad \qquad$



Fig. 3 Partial sequence of p53/Exon 7 of both control DNA and Ovarian Cancer/MN 62 DNA. Observe the base change from A in control of G in Ovarian Cancer DNA.

#### *DNA* **Study**

#### **A) DNA preparation**

Using standard techniques, we extracted and purified genomic DNA from ovarian cancers and control DNA from normal individual or adjacent normal tissue that was determined histologically to be free from cancer. $31$ 

## DNA SEQUENCING EXON 8 of p53/CONTROL



### **Ovarian CA-MF point mutation C** -> **T**



**Fig. 4** Partial sequence of p53/Exon 8 of both control and Ovarian Cancer/MF 79. As shown, base C in control sequence changes to T in Ovarian Cancer DNA of patient MF 79.

#### **B) PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction)**

To Search for gene aberrations by PCR/DNA Sequencing Method, the coding regions of the p53 gene particularly from Exon 4 to Exon 9 were amplified individually into DNA fragments with the help of two sets of primers (forward and reverse) for each exon (Table 1). Each reaction mixture 50 µl contained 200 ng of tumor DNA, 1.5 mM of MgCl<sub>9</sub>, dNTP mix of 0.2 mM,Taq DNApolymerase (Promegaor Boehringer Mannheim) 2.0 U, and forward and reverse primers  $(0.4 \mu M$  each). Amplification was carried out in Perkin

Elmer Thermal Cycler. Thirty five cycles of 30 seconds at  $95^{\circ}$ C,  $45$  seconds at  $66^{\circ}$ C and  $90$  seconds at  $72^{\circ}$ C were used and followed by 7 minutes at  $72^{\circ}$ C, and finally at 4°C for wait cycle. The PCR product was tested for amplified fragments in 2 per cent agarose containing Ethidium Bromide. A clean single band should result as shown in Figure 2. The PCR productwas purified by MicroSpin Sephacryl HR column chromatography (S-400 HR from Pharmacia Biotech) before DNA sequencing.

#### **C) DNA Sequencing**

The enzymatic method of Sanger et al<sup>32</sup> was used in both manual and automated DNA Sequencing Techniques. The Sanger method is based on the use of specific terminators of DNA chain elongation: 2',3', dideoxynucleoside-5'-triphosphate (ddNTP). These deoxynucleoside triphosphate analogues can be incorporated by a DNA polymerase (we use taq DNA polymerase from Perkin Elmer or Amersham) into a growing DNA chain through their 5'-triphosphate groups. However, hocause these analogues lack a hydroxyl group at the **3'** position of deoxyribose sugar, they can not form phosphodiester bondswith the next incoming deoxynucleoside-5' triphosphate (dNTP) and the chain extension terminates whenever that analogue is incorporated. To obtain sequence data, one extension reaction must be run For each of the four ddNTPs. We used AmpliCycle Sequencing Kit, either from Perkin Elmer or Amersham for ourexperiments.

The strategy for Thermal Cycle Sequencing involved the removal of leftover primers from the first PCR experiment (we used S-400 HR MicroSpin Sephacryl Column Chromatography). The amplifiecl DNA fragments from Exon 4 to 9 of p53 were used as starting material for the second amplification with only one of the two PCR primers and ddNTPs in the reaction. During the thermal cycling the thermostable polymerase used one strand of' the amplified DNA fragment as a sequencing template. Because many samples were prepared for DNA sequencing from all amplified fragments of various exon of p53, it became necessary to use automated DNA Sequencing Techniques extensively. The method was modified from Sanger et al technique. $32$  DNA sequencing was performed by fluorescent dye terminator labeling method using ABI Prism Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready reaction Kit with Ampli Taq DNA Polymerase

FS. Sequencing reactions were analyzed on an ABI 373A DNA Sequencer. The data of DNA sequences were analyzed by MacVector software version 5.0 from IRI. Figures 3 and 4 show examples of the automated DNA sequencing data.

#### **RESULTS**

#### *Pathologic* **study**

The pathologic feature and tumor grade of the tumors were determined according to FIG0 (International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics) Classification. $33$  Of 40 ovarian cancers studied, 2 tumors were classified as stage I, grade I; 2 tumors as stage **11,**  grade **I** and 36 tumors as stage **111** with 31 grade 3 and *5* grade 2.

#### *Ouerexpression* **of p53** *protein*

Intcnse band formation with molecularweight of' 53 kilodalton (kDa) From the blot after sufficient exposure to the x-ray film, indicative of overexpression of p53 protein, was shown in Figure 1. The left panel showed positive overexpression on lanes 1-3 and 5-7. Likewise, on the right panel, lanes I and **2** demonstrated overexpression while lane 3 showed no intense band formation at 53 kDa position, indicative of no mutant protein present to interact with anti-p53/ negzative overexpression. On lanes 5-7 a faint band at 53 kDa was seen hut our interpretation was negative for p53 overexpression.

The data of p53 overexpression were shown in Table 2. Most of our ovarian cancers were stage **111**  (36 cases). Only 2 cases were in stage **11** and 2 were in stage I disease. Thirty eight cases showed overexpression of  $p53$  protein  $(38/40 = 95\%)$  and one case of stage **I** and one case of stage **111** showed negative overexpression. We examined the relationship between p53 overcxpression and tumor grade and histologic subtypes and found no correlation between them (Table 3).

**Table 2** Ovarian cancers p53 overexpression by Western<br>
Transfer method.

<b>Total Number</b> of Patients	Overexpression	Per cent
40	38	95





ED = Evidence of Disease (Alive)

DOD = Died of Cancer

NED =Alive with No Evidence of Disease

#### *Direct sequencing and mutation study*

Our strategy to perform thermal cycle sequencing of amplified fragments was to include Exon 5 to Exon 8 for all samples of DNA obtained from 40 consecutive ovarian cancers. For those that did not demonstrate any mutations in Exon *5* to Exon 8, the study was extended to cover Exon 4 and Exon 9 as well. If mutation was found in one of the Exons *5-8,* the study was not extended to Exon 4 or 9, unless mutation was not clearly seen.

The analysis of DNA sequence was focused on the coding region of these exons. Base change for example, in figure **3,** was clearly seen from A in control group to B in ovarian cancer DNA of Exon 7. Base change in Figure 4, was also obvious in control from *C*  to T of patient tumor DNA/MF and Exon 8.

#### *p53 Gene analysis*

The aberrations of p5S gene identified by DNA Sequence Analysis were found in 31 of 40 ovarian cancers (78%). All except one aberration were point mutation (Table 3). There were 7 cases that had more than one mutation in their p53 gene. For example, case MF 72 (grade 2) had a mutation at Codon 231 in Exon  $7 \text{ (ACC}\rightarrow$  ACT, Transition) and another mutation at Codon 273 in Exon 8 (CGT $\rightarrow$ CAT, Transition) resulting in changing Arginine to Histidine at Codon 273. Case MO 73 (grade **3)** had **2** mutations, one at Codon **263** in Exon 8 (ATT-+CAT, Transversion) changing Asparagine to Histidine; the other at Codon 298 in Exon 8 ( $GAG \rightarrow GAC$ , Transversion) changing Glutamic Acid to Aspartic acid. Case **MR 63** had **2** mutations in Exon 8; at Codon 263 (AAT→CAT, Transversion) and

#### **Table 4** Ovarian cancer with silent p53 mutation.



ED = Evidence of Disease (Alive)

DOD = Died of Cancer

NED = Alive with No Evidence of Disease

Exon	Total	<b>Mutation at G:C</b>			<b>Mutation at A:T</b>		
	<b>Mutations</b>	$\rightarrow$ A:T	$\rightarrow$ T:A	$\rightarrow$ C:G	$\rightarrow$ T:A	$\rightarrow$ G:C	$\rightarrow$ C:G
۰.	9						
	6						
	R						
		8					
Total	41	16					

**Table 5** Ovarian cancer site of mutation and base changes.

had a point mutation at Codon 245 Exon 7 (GGC $\rightarrow$  enough, all cases with silent mutation did have p53<br>GAC Transition) and a microdeletion at Codon 298 protein overexpression. The silent mutations in our GAC Transition) and a microdeletion at Codon 298 protein overexpression. The silent mutations in our (GAGC $\rightarrow$ GAC) Exon 8 as well.

point mutation at one of their bases in a codon, but this to Exon 8. As shown, mutations were divided into two

Type of <b>Base Substitution</b>	# Base Substitution	Per cent	
Transition:			
$G:C \rightarrow A:T$	16	39	
$A: T \rightarrow G: C$	6	15	
Total	22	54	
Transversion:			
$G: C \rightarrow T:A$	З	7	
$G:C\rightarrow C:G$	5	12	
$A: T \rightarrow T:A$	6	15	
$A: T \rightarrow C: G$	5	12	
<b>Total</b>	19	46	
<b>TOTAL</b>	41	100	

Table **6** Transition and transversion.





at Codon 282 (CGG-TGG Transition). Case GC58 did not result in amino acids changes. Interestingly study occurred at the rate of  $8/41=19.5$  per cent.

#### *Silent mutation Site of mutation and base changes (Table* **5)**

Table 4 showed 8 cases of ovarian cancer with p53 Table 5 compiled type of mutations from Exon 4 main groups; mutation at G:C and mutation at A:T. For each and every exon, mutations at G:C were subdivided into  $\rightarrow$  A;T,  $\rightarrow$  T:A and  $\rightarrow$  C:G. Likewise, mutations at A:T were subdivided into  $\rightarrow$  T:A,  $\rightarrow$  G:C and  $\rightarrow$  C:G. All mutations at G:C basepair were 24/41 = 59 per cent. All mutations atA:T basepair were 17/41 = 41 per cent. Of 41 total mutations studied, transition type mutation occurred at  $G: C \rightarrow A$ : T = 16 and  $A: T \rightarrow G$ :  $C = 6$ , totaling 22 mutations. Transversion mutations  $G:C \rightarrow T:A, G:C$  $\rightarrow$  C:G, A:T  $\rightarrow$  T:A and A:T  $\rightarrow$  C:G were 3+5+6+5 = 19 mutations (Table 6).

#### $CpG$  mutation

The data from Tables 3 and 4 showed many CpG mutations from Exon 4 to Exon 8. The data showed one CpG mutation occurred at Exon 4/codon 4; **9**  CpG mutations at Exon 5 codons 141, 175 and 175; 4 CpG mutations at Exon 6 codons 213, 213, 213 and 213; 2 CpG mutations at Exon 7, codon 245 and 248. There were 5 CpG mutations at Exon 8, codon 273, 273 282, 306 and 306. All total, 15 CpG mutations  $(15/41)$  $=37\%$ ) occurred in ovarian cancer.

#### $p53$  mutations at various stages and survival

Table 7 showed evidence of p53 mutation by DNA sequencing method at various stages of disease. As seen, mutation was discovered in one of two of stage I and 2 of 2 of stage II disease. Most of our tissue samples were from stage **III** disease with 28 of 36 (78%) with





evidence of mutation.

Details of mutations, mutation events and disease-free survival were reported in Table 8. The overall survival based on appropriate treatment during our study from 1994 to 1996 showed  $6/40 = 15$  per cent. When p53 mutations were carefully evaluated in the light of patients who survived their disease, it was found that all of the mutations except one were of transition type. As can be seen, the patients with stage I and I1 were expected to survive their disease at a higher rate than patients with stage 111.

#### **DISCUSSION**

#### *p53 Ouerexpression* **and** *mutation*

Our data showed overexpression of p53 protein in ovarian cancer at the level of 95 per cent (38/40) of all cases studied, while in most of the previously reported data, the rate was 60 per cent or less. This may be due to two main reasons. First, we used western transfer method to detect p53 protein which may be more sensitive than the most frequently used technique of immunohistochemical method. Second, our data had more late stage  $(36 of 40 stage III)$  and fewer early stage I and I1 disease (4 of 40 stage I and 11). However, overexpression of p53 protein was seen in all of our stage I and I1 as well as stage 111 disease. Another interesting feature, was our ability to demonstrate the presence of p53 mutations by DNA sequencing in 31 samples out of 40 (78%), regardless of the status of their p53 protein. Most of the previous reports have their DNA sequenced if and when the samples were positive immunohistochemically for p53 protein or positive for SSCP3" and therefore they could identify the presence of p53 mutationin about 50 per cent of the entire population of ovarian cancers. Our inability to identify mutations in 22 per cent of ovarian cancers that overexpressed p53 proteins raised the question of the overall sensitivity and specificity of p53 overexpression detected by any methods. As pointed out by other investigators $^{35,36}$  p53 overexpression may even occur in the absence of p53 gene mutation because of high-level cytoplasmic sequestration of wild-type p58 protein or mutation in the p53 promotor gene. The reverse is also true. Our data showed one case (MN 62) of point mutation at Codon 234 of Exon 7 in spite of negative overexpression of p53 protein (Table 3). This is not surprising, as one would expect that anyone of these mutations, frameshift or deletion or nonsense mutations could stop the production of mutant p53 protein, resulting in negative Western Transfer Study.

The fact that when there is a lack of correlation between p53 protein overexpression and the presence of mutations it may not be possible to extrapolate the data of p53 protein overexpression to the presence or absence of p5S gene mutation. This point was also shown by Sjogren et al<sup>37</sup> in their study of  $p53$  gene in 316 cases of breast cancers. In those that DNA sequencing was positive for p53 mutation, 33 per cent of them showed negative immunohistochemical reaction (false-negative IHC reactions). Meanwhile 30 per cent of positive immunohistochemical reaction cases failed to show any p53 mutations by direct DNA sequencing (false-positive IHC reaction). It would appear that if we were to capture most, if not all of the abnormal changes of the p53 suppressor gene or other genes, direct DNA sequencing may have to be included in all studies. Are there any built-in lack of sensitivity of DNA sequencing used at present? Most researchers would agree that the detection of mutation near the primer both forward and reverse may be problematic and that we may not be able to identify some mutations in or near the primers. This problem may be circumvented by using the primers to cover all coding regions from Exon 5 to 8, thereby eliminating some problems of detection of mutation in or near the primer of Exon 5, forward primer Exon 6, both fonvard and reverse primer of Exon 7.

#### *Point mutation*

Similar to the p53 mutations reported earlier in a variety of cancers including ovarian cancers,  $9-18$  the majority of p53 mutation from our data were missense mutations (Table **3)** which result in amino acid substitutions in the p53 protein. These mutant p53 proteins have been shown to have many altered biochemical properties, including an increased halflife and ability to complex with p53 in order to inactivate wild-type p53 protein. $2,22,38$  Our data have all but one missense mutation that contained microdeletion mutation causing frameshift at the end of the coding region of Exon 8 (Table 3). Comparing our data with those of Kohler et al,<sup>22</sup> Milner et al<sup>24</sup> and others<sup>26-28</sup> it was obvious that the p53 mutations did occur preferentially in highly conserved regions 11,111 (Exon 5), region IV (Exon 7) and region V (Exon 8). Two third of p53 mutations from our data were in this highly conserved regions between Exon 5 and Exon 8 and none in Exons 1-3 and 9-11.<sup>15,19-21,26-28</sup> The other interesting features of our data was that there were *5*  cases of ovarian cancers (MF79, EC59, MO73, MR63 and GC58) that have more than one mutation in their p53 gene (Table 3). These events did not appear to occur frequently in any studies, perhaps it was due to the tolerance limit of the p53 protein to survive and function. These interesting findings required further study to identify the rate of occurrence and the impact it will make to the protein and its function, or lack of. There has been sufficient evidence from many publications<sup>19-24</sup> including ours, indicating that  $p53$  mutations occurred in all stages of ovarian cancer and perhaps in the borderline tumor as well.<sup>38</sup> This genetic event-p53 mutation - seemed to occur not only in the early stage but also in the late stage of carcinogenesis of all human malignancies.<sup>10,15,20,24</sup> p53 Mutation could perhaps be viewed as an initial step of carcinogenesis, which would require additional genetic events for the tumor to progress into an aggressive malignancy.

#### *CpG mutations*

It is believed by many investigators that CpG dinucleotides (cytosine-phospho-guanine) are preferentially involved in spontaneous mutations.<sup>10,15,19,22-24</sup> Since CGN is coded for Arginine (ARG), it is anticipated that this amino acid is likely to be a target for spontaneous mutation. The most likely process of mutation is deamination of the 5-methylcytosine that can change C to T or G to A transition. Our data showed CpG mutations at the rate of  $15/41$  (37%) in complete agreement with many reported data<sup>12,15,24,28</sup> including those of Kohler et al<sup>22</sup> 11/45 (24%). Since the spontaneous mutations were believed to play a major role in sporadic epithelial ovarian cancers, the mutation at CpG dinucleotide seems most likely to occur due to random errors in DNA synthesis preceding mitotic cell division.

#### *Silent mutations*

Point mutations occurring at one of the bases of the codon, but notresulting in the amino acid changes raised the possibility of genetic instability that may or may not lead to the initial step of carcinogenesis. The rate of silent mutations of19.5 per cent in our study is large enough to warrant further study to understand

the importance or lack of, in p53 suppressor gene mutation study.

#### **\$53** *Mutations and suroivorship*

From Table 8, our data showed that details of mutation and mutation events in those who survived their cancer, even though the follow up was relatively short. However, the clinical and laboratory indicators clearly showed the freedom of cancer-free status. Two possible conclusions could be drawn from Table 8. The early stage cancer appeared to do well, as expected, and the otherwas that the survivors seemed to have the mutation event of Transition type.

#### *Transition and transversion mutations*

Our data showed a total of 41 mutations and a small deletion in 31 cases with 54 per cent of mutations to be Transition type (purine to purine or pyrimidine to pyrimidine) and the other 46 per cent of mutations were of Transversion type (purine to pyrimidine or pyrimidine to purine).  $15.22$  Analysis of the distribution of point mutations fion~ our data (Tables *5* and 6) suggested a preferential mutation of'the basepair **G:C**   $\rightarrow$ A:T, occurring at the rate of 16/41 (39%). The least likely mutation was at  $G: C \rightarrow T:A$ , occurring at the rate of  $3/41$  (7%). The high frequency of Transition mutations (G:C $\rightarrow$ A:T and A:T $\rightarrow$ G:C) in the p53 gene in our study is similar to those mutations observed in several other types of human cancers including colon and breast cancers.<sup>9,10,12,15</sup>

The occurrence of Transition mutations suggests that the mutation may arise from spontaneous errors in DNAsynthesisand repair, an endogenous event that involves cellular proliferation rather than the exogenous event, implicating environmental factor.<sup>15,22,24,27</sup> The fact that Transversion type of mutations occurred in the ovarian cancers at the rate 46 per cent raises the probability that some other factors, not just spontaneous mutations, may be involved in DNA damage and repair of the p53 gene.

#### **SUMMARY**

1. p53 Gene mutations were studied by two methods:

A) Mutant p53 protein study by immunoblotting (Western Transfer Method)

B) DNA sequencing of p53 gene

2. Results showed 95 per cent (38/40) overexpression of p53 protein and **(3** 1/40) 78 per cent of mutations in their p53 gene. Even though the correlation between p53 protein overexpression and the presence of' mutation was not perfect, the data clearly showed that p53 mutation was found in most ovarian cancer, occurring in stage I, I1 and 111.

**3.** Mutation of p53 occurred most frequently in Exon  $8(40\%)$  in our study.

4. CpG dinucleotide mutations occurred at the rate of  $15/41 = 37$  per cent is in agreement with many other reports. CpG mutations are believed to be involved in spontaneous mutations.

**3.** Our data suggested that 30 per cent of pi53 mutations in ovarian cancers may arise from spontaneous errors in DNA synthesis and repair (Transition Mutation) and the other 50 per cent may be involved in interaction of carcinogens with DNA.

6. Those who survived the cancer with appropriate treatment tend to have transition type of mutation  $5/6 = 83$  per cent.

#### **References**

- 1. Levine AJ, Momand J, Finlay CA. The p53 tumor suppressor gene. Nature 1991; 351:453-6.
- 2. Findlay CA, Hinds PW, Levine AJ. The p53 protooncogene can act as a suppressor of transformation. Cell 1989: 57: 1083-93.
- 3. Lane DP p53, guardian of the genome. Nature 1992; 358: 15-6.
- Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW, p53 Function and dysfunction. Cell 1992; 70:523-6.
- 5. Lane DP, Crawford LV. T-Antigen is bound to a host protein in SV40 transformed cells. Nature 1979; 278:261-3.
- Bishop JM Molecular themes in oncogenesis. Cell 1991 ;64:235-48.
- Weinberg RA. Tumor suppressor gene. Science 1991: 64: 1 138-46.
- 8. Harris CC. Molecular epidemiology of basal cell carcinoma. J Natl Cancer lnst 1996; 88:315-7.
- 9. Hollstein M, Sidransky D, Vogelstein B, Harris CC, p53 Mutations in human cancers. Science 1991; 253:49-53.
- 10. Nigro JM, Baker SI, Preisinger AC, et al Mutations in the p53 gene occur in diverse human tumor types. Nature 1989; 342:705-8.
- 11. Prosser J, Thompson AM, Cranston G, Evans HJ. Evidence that p53 behaves as a tumor suppressor in sporadic breast tumors. Oncogene 1990: 5: 1573-9.
- 12. Mazars R, Spinardi L, Bencheikh M, Simony-Lafontaine J, Jeanteur P, Theillet C. p53 mutations occur in aggressive breast cancer. Cancer Res 1992; 52:3918-23,
- 13. Takahashi T, Nau MM, Chiba I, et al. p53:A frequent target for genetic abnormalities in lung cancer. Science 1989, 246:491-4.
- 14. Sidransky D, Mikkelsen T, Schwechheimer K, Rosenblum ML, Cavanee W. Vogelstein B, Clonal expansion of p53 mutant cells is associated with brain tumor progression Nature 1992; 355:846-7.
- 15. Caron de Fromentel C, Soussi T, p53 tumor suppressor gene: A model for investigating human mutagenesis. Genes Chrom & Cancer 1992; 4: 1-15.
- 16. Hsu IC, Metcalf RA, Sun T, Welsh JA, Wang NJ, Harris CC. Mutational hotspot in the p53 gene in human hepatocellular carcinomas. Nature 1991; 350:427-8.
- 17. Bressac BKM, Wands J, Ozturk M. Selective G to T mutations of p53 gene in hepatocellular carcinoma from Southern Africa Nature 1991: 350:429-31.
- 18. Bartek J, Bartkova J, Vojtesek B, et al. Aberrant expression of the p53 oncoprotein is a common feature of a wide spectrum of human malignancies. Oncogene 1991; 6:1699-703.
- Marks JR, Davidoff AM, Kerns BJ, et al. Overexpression and mutation of p53 in epithelial ovarian cancer Cancer Res 1991; 51:2979-84.
- 20. Okamoto A, Sameshima Y, Yokoyama S, et al. Frequent allelic losses and mutations of the p53 gene in human ovarian cancer. Cancer Res 1951: 51 :5171-6.
- Mazars R, Pujol P. Maudelonde T. Jeanteur P,Theillet C. p53 Mutations in Ovarian Cancer: A late event? Oncogene 1991, 6:1685-90.
- 22. Kohler MF, Marks JR, Wiseman RW, et al. Spectrum of mutation and frequency of allelic deletion of the p53 gene in ovarian cancer. J Natl Cancer lnst 1993; 85: 1513-9
- 23. Kohler MF, Kerns BJ, Humphrey PA, Marks JR, Bast RC, Berchuck A. Mutation and overexpression of p53 in early stage epithelial ovarian cancer. Obstet Gynecol 1993; 81 :643-8.
- 24. Milner BJ, Allan LA, Eceles DM, et al. p53 mutation is a common genetic event in ovarian carcinoma, Cancer Res 1993; 53:23 128-32.
- 25. Teneriello MG, Ebina M, Linnoila RI, et al. p53 and ki-ras gene mutations in epithelial ovarian neoplasms. Cancer Res 1993: 53:3 103-8.
- 26. Sheridan E, Silcocks P, Smith J, Hancock BW, Goyns MH, p53 mutation in a series of epithelial ovarian cancers from the U.K. and its prognostic significance. Eur J Cancer 1993, 30A: 1701 -4
- 27. Kim JW, Cho YH, Kwon DJ, et al. Aberrations of the p53 tumor

suppressor gene in human epithelial ovarian carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 1995; 57:199-204.

- 28. Kupryjanczyk J, Thor AD, Beauchamp R, et al. p53 gene mutations and protein accumulation in human ovarian cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1993: 90:4961-5.
- 29. Ausubel FM, Brent R. Kingston RE, et al. Short protocols in molecular biology. John Wiley and Sons: 1992: 10.5- 10.25.
- 30. Laemmli UK. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature 1970: 227:680-5.
- 31. Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T. Molecular cloning. a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor 1989.
- 32 Sanger F. Nicklen S. Coulson AR DNA sequencing with chain terminating inhibitors. Proc Natl AcadSci 1977: 74:5463- 7
- 33. FIG0 Cancer Committee: Staging announcement. Gynecol Oncol 1986: 25:383.
- 34. Orita M, lwahana H. Kanazawa H, Hayashi K, Sekiya T.

Detection of polymorphisms of human DNA by gel electrophoresisassingle-strandconformationpolymorphisms. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1989; 86:2766-70.

- 35. Moll UM, Riou G, Levine AJ. Two distinct mechanisms alter p53 in breast cancer: mutation and nuclear exclusion. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1992: 89:7262-6.
- 36. Louis DM. VonDeimling A, Chung RY. Comparative study of p53geneand proteinalterations in human astrocytic tumors. J Neuropath Exp Neurol 1993; 52:31-8.
- 37. Sjogren S, inganas M, Norberg T, et al, The p53 gene in breast cancer: prognostic value of complementary DNA sequencing versus immunohistochemistry. J Natl Cancer lnst 1996; 88: 173-82,
- 38. Hinds PW, Findlay CA, Levine AJ. Mutation is required to activate the p53 gene for cooperation with the ras oncogence and transformation. J Virol 1989: 63:739-46.
- 39. Wertheim I, Muto MG. Welch WR, Bell DA, BerkowitzRS, Mok SC, p53 gene mutation in human borderline epithelial ovarian tumors. J Natl Cancer lnst 1994: 86: 1549-51.