

Characters of Mortality and Time Series Analysis in Thoracic Injury Before and After Rapid Response Trauma Team Establishment

Kaweesak Chittawatanarat, MD, FRCST¹
Chagkrit Ditsatham, MD¹
Narain Chotirosniramit, MD, FRCST¹
Kamtone Chandacham, MD, FRCST¹
Tidarat Jirapongcharoenlap, MD, FRCS¹
Patrinee Traisathit, PhD. (Biomathematics)²

¹Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University

²Department of Statistics, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University

Abstract

Background: Severe thoracic injury is a life threatening condition and needs prompt as well as proper treatment. The Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University has established the Rapid Response Trauma Team (RRTT) in July 2006. The aim of this study was to verify mortality rate alteration after setting up the RRTT.

Methods: We performed a retrospective “before and after” designed study between January 2004 and September 2009. The month before July 2006 was defined as “before RRTT” and after was “after RRTT”. Monthly mortality rate, severity injury score (ISS) and demographic data were collected.

Results: A total of 951 patients were included [427 (30 months) in before RRTT and 524 (39 months) in after RRTT]. Of these, 83 patients (8.8%) died after admission and were analyzed for characteristics of mortality. The average age of mortality cases was 38.7 ± 16.3 years. Male was a predominant gender. The most common mechanism of injury was motor cycle accident. Although there were no difference of characters and mechanisms of injuries between two periods but patients associated with maxillofacial injury had significant lower mortality in after RRTT (28.5% vs. 10.5%; $p = 0.04$). The after RRTT group had a significantly higher occurrence of urinary complication and acute renal failure. The average adjusted monthly mortality rate was lower in after RRTT (9.0 ± 6.1 vs. $6.9 \pm 4.0\%$). Time series analysis between two periods demonstrated a trend towards decrease in monthly mortality after RRTT [coefficient(95% CI) = $-0.61(-1.13$ to $-0.23)$; $p < 0.01$].

Conclusion: The RRTT establishment could decrease mortality rate. The protective effect was predominant in patients associated with maxillofacial injuries.

Key words: maxillofacial injury, mortality, multiple injuries, rapid response trauma team, time series analysis, thoracic injury

Correspondence address: Kaweesak Chittawatanarat, MD, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Thailand; Telephone: +66 5394 5533; Fax: +66 5394 6139; E-mail: kchittaw@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Thoracic trauma is a life threatening condition and considered as the first priority management in initial assessment period¹. Lack of medical personnel and organized trauma system in Thailand is the important factor². At midyear 2006, the authors organized the Rapid Response Trauma Team (RRTT) which featured only trauma surgical residences and trauma attending to service all admitted and poly-trauma patients. The authors hypothesized that organization team might improve patient mortality especially promptly needed injury of thoracic trauma. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to compare mortality trend and patients' characteristics between before and after RRTT settlement.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In 2003, the Royal College Surgeons of Thailand in cooperation with the American College Surgeons initiated the first Advance Traumatic Life Support (ATLS[®]) course in Thailand and this was the beginning point of trauma team development at our hospital. In 2004 the authors organized a regional health care system to develop pre-hospital health care system. In hospital organization, the authors started RRTT in July 2006. The authors set the criteria for team activation which consisted of patients with cardiac arrest or history of cardiopulmonary resuscitation, hypotension or blood pressure drop at the scene, difficult airway management, Glasgow's Coma score < 8, suspected cervical spine injury or neurological deficit, pelvic fracture, all blunt or penetrating injury of abdomen, chest, neck and vascular injury, electrical injury, pediatric injury, pregnancy, severe soft tissue injury and multiple or poly injuries.

The authors performed retrospective observation study of admitted trauma patients at the Maharaj Nakorn Chiang Mai Hospital, a tertiary university based level I trauma center in northern region of Thailand, between January 2004 and September 2009. The study was divided into "before" and "after" establishment of RRTT. Period of before established RRTT (before RRTT group) was from January 2004 to end of June 2006 while after rapid response trauma team setting (after RRTT group) was from July 2006 to end of September 2009. All thoracic injured patients who were admitted to hospital during January 2004 to

September 2009 were reviewed from hospital data bank by International Classification of Diseases (ICD 10) with diagnostic trauma code of S20-S29. The authors excluded patients who were admitted to hospital without thoracic injury, iatrogenic chest injury and patients who re-admitted for other reasons with previous thoracic injury as well as repeated count in different code at the same admission. This study was approved by the Chiang Mai University Ethic Committee.

The authors collected age, gender, date of admission and discharge, mechanisms of injuries, characteristics of injuries, associated organ injuries and admission severity of disease which was measured by Injury Severity Score (ISS) mechanism of injuries and all procedures during admission. The primary outcome was in-hospital mortality and secondary outcomes were in-hospital complications. Poly trauma or multiple trauma patients were defined by ISS score >15.3-5. Data was analyzed by the STATA software (version 11.0, STATA Inc., College Station, TX). All continuous variable data differences were tested using Student's t test for parametric distribution data and reported as mean \pm SD or median (25-75 inter-quartile range [IQR]) for non parametric distribution and tested with Mann-Whitney U test. For categorical variables, Pearson's chi-square was used except when small size required the use of Fisher's exact test. Time series analyses of monthly mortality was performed by exploring autocorrelation firstly and lag time was selected by Schwarz's Bayesian (SBIC), the Akaike's (AIC) and the Hannan and Quinn information criterion (HQIC) as well as likelihood ratio.⁶⁻⁷ Interval selection was tested for stationary by Dickey-Fuller test.⁸ Alteration of trend between before and after RRTT was analyzed by Chow test. Differences were considered to be statistically significant when $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Total of 951 admitted patients were collected during the 39-month period. They were divided into two groups, 427 patients of 30 months in before RRTT group and 524 patients in 39 months after RRTT group. Of these, 83 patients (8.8%) died after admission [45 (10.6%) vs. 38 (7.3%) in before and after RRTT respectively]. The mortality patient characteristics were analyzed.

Average age of mortality patient was nearly forty years (All, before, after : 38.7 ± 16.3 , 37.7 ± 15.5 and 39.7 ± 17.4 year respectively). Male was the predominant gender. Tendency of severity injury score in mortality patient was higher score in after RRTT (30.2 ± 11.5 vs. 35.0 ± 14.1 ; $p = 0.06$). Even though there was no statistical difference demonstrated in group of minor and poly-trauma which was stratified by ISS but three patients died in $ISS \leq 15$. The causes were delayed detection of tension pneumothorax, progression of intracranial lesion and myocardial infarction (Table 1). Motor cycle accident was predominant mechanism

of injury. However, there was no statistical difference in characters and mechanisms of injuries in mortality patients between two periods except hemothorax (Table 1). While there was no difference in all number of maxillofacial injury in both periods [44 (10.3%) vs. 46 (8.8%); $p = 0.42$] the mortality patient had lower occurrence in after RRTT [17 (20.5%) vs. 4 (10.5%); $p = 0.04$]. Overall associated abdominal injury in after RRTT group had higher incidence than before group [73 (17.1%) vs. 144 (27.5%); $p < 0.01$] and these led to effect transmission in higher occurrence in mortality of these kind associated injuries (Table 1).

Table 1 Patient mortality characteristics of before and after RRTT establishment

	All (n = 83)	Before (n = 45)	After (n = 38)	P value
General aspect				
Age[mean (SD)]	38.7 (16.3)	37.7 (15.5)	39.7 (17.4)	0.59
Gender (Male:Female)	72:11	40:5	32:6	0.53
Injury severity score [ISS (SD)]	32.7 (13.0)	30.2 (11.5)	35.0 (14.1)	0.06
ISS \leq 15 (%)	3 (3.6)	3 (6.7)	0 (0)	0.11
ISS > 15 (%)	80 (96.4)	42 (93.3)	38 (100)	
Mechanism of injuries				
Pedestrian	5 (6.0)	2 (4.4)	3 (7.9)	0.51
Motor cycle accident	42 (50.6)	24 (53.3)	18 (47.4)	0.58
Car accident	4 (4.8)	2 (4.4)	2 (5.3)	0.85
Occupant	1 (1.2)	0 (0)	1 (2.6)	0.27
Self harm	1 (1.2)	0 (0)	1 (2.6)	0.27
Body assault	8 (9.6)	4 (8.9)	4 (10.5)	0.80
Fall	13 (15.7)	6 (13.3)	7 (18.4)	0.53
Other	3 (3.6)	2 (4.4)	1 (2.6)	0.66
Character of injuries				
Thoracic soft tissue injuries	10 (12.1)	6 (13.3)	4 (10.5)	0.70
Thoracic vertebral and cord injuries	5 (6.0)	2 (4.4)	3 (7.9)	0.51
Rib (s) and sterna fracture	27 (32.5)	14 (31.1)	13 (34.2)	0.76
Flail chest	10 (12.1)	6 (13.3)	4 (10.5)	0.70
Thoracic great vessels injury	8 (9.6)	4 (8.9)	4 (10.5)	0.80
Other thoracic vessels injury	1 (1.2)	0 (0)	1 (2.6)	0.27
Heart injury	9 (10.8)	5 (11.1)	4 (10.5)	0.93
Pneumothorax	10 (12.1)	7 (15.6)	3 (7.9)	0.29
Hemothorax	25 (30.1)	9 (20.0)	16 (42.1)	0.03
Pneumohemothorax	15 (18.1)	6 (13.3)	9 (23.7)	0.22
Lung contusion	18 (21.7)	9 (20.0)	9 (23.7)	0.69
Large airway injury	1 (1.2)	1 (2.2)	0 (0)	0.36
Multiple thoracic organ injury	9 (10.8)	5 (11.1)	4 (10.5)	0.93
Other thoracic injury	1 (1.2)	1 (2.2)	0 (0)	0.36
Associated organ injury				
Maxillofacial injury	17 (20.5)	13 (28.9)	4 (10.5)	0.04
Head injury	29 (34.9)	15 (33.3)	14 (36.8)	0.74
Neck injury	6 (7.2)	4 (8.9)	2 (5.3)	0.53
Abdominal injury	38 (45.8)	15 (33.3)	23 (60.5)	0.01
Orthopedics upper extremity injury	17 (20.5)	11 (24.4)	6 (15.8)	0.33
Orthopedics lower extremity injury (include pelvis)	19 (22.9)	13 (28.9)	6 (15.8)	0.16

The most common procedure was intercostal drainage (ICD) and one third of mortality patients had ICD inserted. No mortality occurrences were found in patients who underwent trapdoor, video assisted thoracotomy (VAT), tracheostomy, bronchoscopy, thoracic vascular stent and angiogram embolization. Although total number of craniotomy was not different in both periods [10 (2.3%) vs. 9 (1.7%); $p = 0.49$] the craniotomy associated thoracic injury in before RRTT group had higher mortality [6 (13.3%) vs. 0 (0%); $p = 0.03$] (Table 2). Overall complications in mortality patient were no difference except urinary complication and acute renal failure which had higher tendency in after RRTT group (Table 2).

With monthly interval in time series analysis of adjusted mortality by severity injury score during 69-month period, the authors found that association of data had autocorrelation (coefficient 0.36; $p < 0.01$). Selection of lag time period was tested by AIC, HQIC and SBIC as well as likelihood ratio (LR). The

appropriated lag selection with these methods were monthly interval (lag 1) which had highest significantly likelihood ratio (AIC: -4.45, HQIC: -4.36, SBIC: -4.23 and $LR = 146.3$; $p < 0.01$). Unit root was tested by Dickey Fuller test which demonstrated yearly trend (lag 12) had acceptable stationary of model. Overall average monthly adjusted mortality in before RRTT had higher percentage than after RRTT ($9.0 \pm 6.1\%$ vs. $6.1 \pm 4.0\%$ in Figure 1). Analysis of monthly trend with regression coefficients before and after RRTT had significant lower tendency coefficient alteration in after RRTT and time [Coefficient = -0.61 (95% confidence interval -1.13 to -0.23); $p < 0.01$] and time trend curve was lower and demonstrated in Figure 1.

DISCUSSION

In 2003, the first leading cause of death in Thailand was accident, accounting for 20% (73 per 100000)⁹. This occurrence was higher than average world

Table 2 Procedures and complications in mortality patients of before and after RRTT establishment

	All (n = 83)	Before (n = 45)	After (n = 38)	P value
Procedure				
ICD	29 (34.9)	13 (28.9)	16 (42.1)	0.21
ER thoracotomy	4 (4.8)	1 (2.2)	3 (7.9)	0.23
Thoracotomy	8 (9.6)	5 (11.1)	3 (7.9)	0.62
Clampshell	4 (4.8)	1 (2.2)	3 (7.9)	0.23
Sternotomy	4 (4.8)	3 (6.7)	1 (2.6)	0.39
Pericardial window	1 (1.2)	0 (0)	1 (2.6)	0.27
Trap-door	2 (0.2)	1 (0.2)	1 (0.2)	1.00
Exploratory laparotomy	23 (27.7)	11 (24.4)	12 (31.6)	0.47
Laparoscopic examination	6 (7.2)	2 (4.4)	4 (10.5)	0.28
Craniotomy	6 (7.2)	6 (13.3)	0 (0)	0.03
Unexpected cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR)	2 (2.4)	2 (4.4)	0 (0)	0.50
Complications				
Pulmonary complication	4 (4.8)	3 (6.7)	1 (2.6)	0.39
Hospital acquired pneumonia	2 (2.4)	1 (2.2)	1 (2.6)	0.90
Acute respiratory distress syndrome	3 (3.6)	2 (4.4)	1 (2.6)	0.66
Clot hemothorax	2 (2.4)	1 (2.2)	1 (2.6)	0.90
Urinary tract complication	7 (8.4)	1 (2.2)	6 (15.8)	0.03
Acute renal failure	6 (7.2)	1 (2.2)	5 (13.2)	0.06
Urinary tract infection	1 (1.2)	0 (0)	1 (2.6)	0.27
Hematologic complication	55 (66.3)	29 (64.4)	26 (68.4)	0.70
Massive hemorrhage	51 (61.5)	27 (60.0)	24 (63.2)	0.77
Coagulopathy	20 (24.1)	12 (26.7)	8 (21.1)	0.55
Hepatic failure	1 (1.2)	0 (0)	1 (2.6)	0.23
Cardiac complication	4 (4.8)	1 (2.2)	3 (7.9)	0.22
Neurological complication	11 (13.3)	6 (13.3)	5 (13.2)	0.98
Other sepsis	4 (4.8)	2 (4.4)	2 (5.3)	0.86




Figure 1 Time series of monthly adjusted mortality before and after RRTT

incidence which accounted for 10% of global mortality.¹⁰ Multi-level population health impact model demonstrated stream line approach involved four main aspects that are downstream, midstream, upstream and external factors⁹. Public health system, social organization and community resources were provided as midstream factors and could be developed in level of regional health services and hospital providers. Health care outcomes improvement need well-organized communication and available experienced teams to solve patient emergency problems¹¹. With these beliefs, the authors initiated system communication from the scene and pre-hospital care to hospital care setting in 2004. At hospital level, RRTT were organized and have serviced all of poly trauma and high risk injured patients since mid 2006.

Emergency and precise management in patients who have thoracic injuries are an important factor and are regarded as first priority concern at initial management after patients arrived at the hospital¹. The authors hypothesized that the impact of RRTT on

these types of injuries might alter monthly trend of mortality as well as characters of mortality patients during observation periods.

As shown in Table 1, tendency of mortality patient had higher ISS in later period. Although no statistical difference of mortality was found in stratified ISS but three patients died in before RRTT in spite of no mortality in after RRTT. These might be due to careful and early detection after systemic organization. After RRTT mortality patients had more hemothorax than previous period and these correlated with higher ISS as in previous discussion and these could explain higher urinary complication and acute renal failure (Table 2).

Maxillofacial trauma had significant lower mortality after RRTT. This phenomenon confirmed the authors' hypothesis that prompt treatment to time dependence injury of airway and ventilation would improve patient outcomes. However, RRTT could not significantly decrease patient with associated abdominal injury. These might occur from higher ISS after

RRTT.

Even though fluctuation of monthly adjusted mortality was observed at study period in Figure 1 the time series analysis after autocorrelation testing and time interval selection demonstrated significant decrease of mortality trend after RRTT. However, these might show only global causal relationship between two periods which could be confounded by unexpected simultaneous factors association.

There were many limitations in this study. First, retrospective nature could not control patients' baseline characteristics. Comparing outcomes might be subject to selection bias. Second, reviewed data had some missing value and was excluded especially at the first inclusion year which might result in lower measured mortality rate than the actual one. Third, the authors could not adjust and measure experiences which increase over time and brought about maturation bias. Finally, the authors included only admitted patients and therefore might not be valid for the outpatient setting.

CONCLUSION

RRTT decreases trend of mortality over observation period and the effect was predominant in patients who had associated maxillofacial injuries.

REFERENCES

1. Advanced trauma life support for doctors ATLS: student course manual. In. 8th ed. Chicago: American college of surgeons; 2008.
2. Church AL, Plitponkarpim A. Emergency medicine in Thailand. *Ann Emerg Med* 1998;32:93-7.
3. Sikand M, Williams K, White C, Moran CG. The financial cost of treating polytrauma: implications for tertiary referral centres in the United Kingdom. *Injury* 2005;36:733-7.
4. Demetriades D, Martin M, Salim A, et al. Relationship between American College of Surgeons trauma center designation and mortality in patients with severe trauma (injury severity score > 15). *J Am Coll Surg* 2006;202:212-5; quiz A45.
5. Demetriades D, Berne TV, Belzberg H, et al. The impact of a dedicated trauma program on outcome in severely injured patients. *Arch Surg* 1995;130:216-20.
6. Burnham KP, Anderson DR. Multimodel inference: Understanding AIC and BIC in model selection. *Sociological methods and research*, 2004;33:261-304.
7. Shittu OI, Asemota MJ. Comparison of criteria for estimating the order of autoregressive process: A Monte Carlo approach. *Eur J Sci Res* 2009;30:409-16.
8. Cheung YW, Lai KS. Lag order and critical values of the augmented Dickey-Fuller test. *J Bus Eco Stat* 1995;13:272-80.
9. Sleigh AC, Seubsman SA, Bain C. Cohort profile: The Thai Cohort of 87,134 Open University students. *Int J Epidemiol* 2008;37:266-72.
10. Murray CJ, Lopez AD. Mortality by cause for eight regions of the world: Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet* 1997; 349:1269-76.
11. Cherry RA, King TS, Carney DE, Bryant P, Cooney RN. Trauma team activation and the impact on mortality. *J Trauma* 2007;63:326-30.